

Best Available Copy



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/003,420 01/12/93 TAPP

H 19274-0102

EXAMINER

BRITTON, H

BAKER & BOTT'S
2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS, TX 75201-2916

26M2/0406

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2615

DATE MAILED:

04/06/94

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s). _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
<input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.	<input type="checkbox"/> _____

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. Claims _____ are allowed.
4. Claims 1-20 are rejected.
5. Claims _____ are objected to.
6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received; been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. Other

Art Unit: 2615

1. The drawings are objected to because all diagrammatic blocks (i.e. unidentified blocks in Fig.1, and block 40 along with blocks within it in Fig. 3) are required to be labeled to indicate contents or function (37 C.F.R. 1.83(a), 1.84(g)).

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in response to this Office action. However, correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 C.F.R. § 1.85; 1097 OG 36

IN APPLICATIONS FILED BEFORE JANUARY 1, 1989 OPTION (a) OR (b) MAY BE USED IN ORDER TO CORRECT ANY INFORMALITY IN THE DRAWING.

IN APPLICATIONS FILED AFTER JANUARY 1, 1989 ONLY OPTION (a) MAY BE USED.

AFTER JANUARY 1, 1991 ONLY OPTION (a) MAY BE USED REGARDLESS OF FILING DATE.

(a) File new drawings with the changes incorporated therein. The art unit number, serial number and number of drawing sheets should be written on the reverse side of the drawings. Applicant may delay filing of the new drawings until receipt of the "Notice of Allowability" (PTOL-37). If delayed, the new drawing MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for response in the "Notice of Allowability" (PTOL-37). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The drawing should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsman.

(b) Request a commercial bonded drafting firm to make the necessary corrections. A bonded draftsman must be authorized, the corrections executed and the corrected drawings returned to the Office during the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for response in the "Notice of Allowability" (PTOL-37). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the three month shortened statutory period set in the "Notice of Allowability" (PTOL-37). Within that three month period, two weeks should be allowed for review by the Office of the correction. If a correction is determined to be unacceptable by the Office, applicant must arrange to have an acceptable correction re-submitted within the original three month period to avoid the necessity of obtaining an extension of time and of paying the extension fee. Therefore, applicant should file corrected drawings as soon as possible.

Failure to take corrective action within the set (or extended) period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsman on the PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsman, **MUST** be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a red ink sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings **MUST** be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2615

3. Claims 1-8, 10, 11, and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by OLIVER (4,814,869). Note particularly col. 2, lines 14-19 and col. 3, lines 22-38.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 86 S.Ct. 684, 15 L.Ed. 2nd 545 (1966), 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue; and
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2615

5. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over OLIVER, as above, in view of TATSUMI (4,843,461).

OLIVER discloses all the subject matter claimed, note rejection of parent claims 8 and 10 above, except for adjusting the amount of lighting within a zone of surveillance.

TATSUMI teaches the use of the desirability of using an illuminating device (col. 3, lines 31-46) in a surveillance system for the purpose of adjusting the amount of lighting within a zone of surveillance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an illuminating device in order to improve visibility of the observed scene.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard W. Britton whose telephone number is (703) 305-4724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

03-31-94 hwb

Howard W. Britton
HOWARD W. BRITTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2615